# Introduction to Scintillations

Mark Walker (Manly Astrophysics)

#### Overview

- Basic physics of scintillation
- Context: Ionosphere vs. Solar Wind vs. Interstellar
- Current trends in interstellar scintillation



Waves in vacuum: Spherical wavefronts, no scintillation.

Waves in a medium:

10X

LOAL

hone

Inhomogeneities introduce phase structure. Amplitude structure develops gradually as a result of phase structure.



"Thin screen" approximation: phase changes introduced in a single plane.

"Frozen screen" approximation: no change in screen structure during obs.



Phase structure imposed on wavefront due to passage through a medium:

$$\phi(x, y) = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \int \left\{ n(x, y, z) - 1 \right\} dz$$

In the radio, the dominant refractive index, n, is usually due to ionised gas, so

$$\phi(x, y) \to -N_e(x, y) \lambda r_e$$

Classical radius of electron

#### Calculations of Scintillations

Electric field, u, is calculated via the Fresnel-Kirchoff integral:

$$u = \frac{1}{2\pi i r_F^2} \int dx \, dy \, \exp\left(i\phi + i\frac{(x^2 + y^2)}{2r_F^2}\right)$$

Fresnel scale: 
$$r_F = \sqrt{\frac{D}{2\pi}}$$

Plays a key role when

 $\phi \ll 1$ 

#### Calculations of Scintillations

The electric field, u, is given by the Fresnel-Kirchoff integral:

$$u = \frac{1}{2\pi i r_F^2} \int dx \, dy \, \exp\left(i\phi + i\frac{(x^2 + y^2)}{2r_F^2}\right)$$



Total Phase



#### "Weak Scattering"

#### Calculations of Scintillations

The electric field, u, is given by the Fresnel-Kirchoff integral:

$$u = \frac{1}{2\pi i r_F^2} \int dx \, dy \, \exp\left(i\phi + i\frac{(x^2 + y^2)}{2r_F^2}\right)$$



"Strong Scattering"

#### Stationary Phase Points

Real and imaginary parts of the integrand oscillate rapidly. Except near points of stationary phase. Those points dominate the total electric field.



"Strong Scattering"

#### Stationary Phase Points

Real and imaginary parts of the integrand oscillate rapidly. Except near points of stationary phase. Those points dominate the total electric field.



"Weak Scattering"

#### Position on sky vs. delay, Doppler

 $\theta_x$ 

 $\tau_g \propto \theta_x^2 + \theta_y^2$  $\omega \propto \theta_x$ 

1/1X

 $au_g$ 

 $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ 

Manly Astrophysics

 $heta_{
m v}$ 

#### Position on sky vs. delay, Doppler

1

Radio frequency: Fourier conjugate to <u>total</u> delay

θ

V

Manly Astrophysics

Time:
→ Fourier conjugate to Doppler-shift

 $(\mathcal{D})$ 

T<sub>8</sub>

#### 2 stationary phase points, 1 interference fringe

#### 5 stationary phase points, 10 interference fringes

10 stationary phase points, 45 interference fringes

20 stationary phase points, 190 interference fringes



 $8x10^3$  stationary phase points,  $3x10^7$  interference fringes

#### Strong scattering: separation of scales

"Diffractive Scintillation"

Wave interference yields intensity variations on small spatial (temporal) and frequency scales.

#### 



 $s_0 =$  Field coherence scale = Diffractive scale

#### Strong scattering: separation of scales

"Diffractive Scintillation"

Wave interference yields intensity variations on small spatial (temporal) and frequency scales.

"Refractive Scintillation"

(De)Focusing yields intensity variations on large spatial (temporal) and frequency scales.





#### **Refractive and Diffractive Scintillations**



### Steep vs. shallow density fluctuation spectra

 $\beta > 4$ 

Steep spectrum.

 $|\tilde{n}_e(q)|^2 \propto q^{-\beta}$ 

 $\beta < 4$ 

 $\beta = 4$ 

Shallow spectrum

 $\log q$ 

 $\log |\tilde{n}_e(q)|^2$ 

#### Steep vs. shallow fluctuation spectra



Goodman & Narayan

#### Steep vs. shallow fluctuation spectra



# Influence of source size: Smoothing of small-scale structure



# ContextScreen Distance:Log10D(m)0369121518212427

Extragalactic

Fresnel scales @ 1 GHz  $\theta_F \sim 4$  nano-arcsec  $t_F \sim 1$  month

Sources: ?? Fast Radio Bursts ?? (Terra Incognita)

# Context Screen Distance: Log<sub>10</sub> D(m) 0 3 6 9 12 15 18 21 24 27

#### Interstellar

Extragalactic

Fresnel scales @ 1 GHz  $\theta_F \sim 80 \ \mu \, {\rm arcsec}$  $t_F \sim 2 \ {\rm hours}$ 

Sources: quasars and pulsars



#### Context Screen Distance: Log<sub>10</sub> D(m) 9 12 15 18 21 27 3 6 24Interstellar Ionospheric Interplanetary Extragalactic S<sub>4</sub> @ 1.575 GHz Fresnel scales @ 1 GHz $\theta_F \sim 1$ arcmin $t_F \sim 50$ msec COM Educati Sources: quasars and satellites

Manly Astrophysics

COM - BOdeo

- "Reference model": distributed Kolmogorov turbulence But ...
- Several phenomena that don't fit: "Extreme Scattering" Situation might actually be more like this:

"Extreme" scattering



- "Reference model": distributed Kolmogorov turbulence But ...
- Several phenomena that don't fit: "Extreme Scattering" Situation might actually be more like this:

"Normal" scattering



- "Reference model": distributed Kolmogorov turbulence But ...
- Several phenomena that don't fit: "Extreme Scattering" Situation might actually be more like this:



Super-strong scattering screens Size of order 10<sup>12.5</sup> m? Highly Anisotropic Pressure >> Ambient ?

Extreme screens are far more numerous than stars.

What are these extreme screens??

- Modern backends have high information capture rate
  - Great for pulsar spectroscopy
- Detailed analyses of pulsar data
  - Secondary Spectrum" analysis
  - Holographic approaches
    - Cyclic spectroscopy
- Kinematics and distribution of local screens
  - IntraHour Variable quasars (annual cycles)
- New GHz radio telescopes with high survey speed
  - MEERKAT, APERTIF (Westerbork), ASKAP
  - Expect many new IntraHour Variables